SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

REPORT ON PROPOSITION S BOND BUILDING FUND FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS June 30, 2018



SAN DIEGO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

TABLE OF CONTENTS June 30, 2018

Financial Audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund	1
Independent Auditor's Report	2
Balance Sheet – Proposition S Bond Building Fund	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Proposition S Bond Building Fund	5
Notes to the Financial Statements	6
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	12
Schedule of Findings and Responses	14
Performance Audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund	15
Independent Auditor's Report on Proposition 39 Compliance Requirements	16
Background Information	17
Objectives	17
Scope of the Audit	17
Procedures Performed	18
Conclusion	18
Supplementary Information	19
Citizen's Oversight Committee Members Listing	20
Schedule of Expenditures by Project for Proposition S	21

FINANCIAL AUDIT	OF THE PR	OPOSITION S	BOND	BUILDING	FUND



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP CLAconnect.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Board of Trustees
The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee
San Diego Community College District

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund of the San Diego Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



The Board of Trustees
The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee
San Diego Community College District

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund of the District as of June 30, 2018, and the change in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present fairly only the Proposition S Bond Building Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2018 or the change in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Information

Our audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund of the District was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements referred to above. The supplementary schedule is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary schedule is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary schedule, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2018 on our consideration of the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial reporting and compliance.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Glendora, California December 6, 2018

BALANCE SHEET June 30, 2018

<u>Assets</u>	
Cash in county treasury	\$ 14,861,199
Accounts receivable	71,355
Due from Capital Project Funds	320,311
Total Assets	\$ 15,252,865
Liabilities and Fund Balance	
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 16,606
Retention payable	178,939
Total Liabilities	195,545
Fund Balance	
Restricted	15,057,320
Total Fund Balance	15,057,320
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 15,252,865

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

Revenues	
Interest income	\$ 221,571
Other local revenue	32,451
Total Revenues	254,022
Expenditures	
Salaries	16,389
Benefits	6,911
Supplies	17,456
Other services	2,067,343
Capital outlay	633,771
Total Expenditures	2,741,870
Net change in fund balance	(2,487,848)
Fund Balance at Beginning of Year	17,545,168
Fund Balance at End of Year	\$ 15,057,320

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Accounting Policies

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units* issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Financial Reporting Entity

These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Structure

The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance is a statement of financial activities of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund related to the current reporting period. Fund expenditures frequently include amounts for land, buildings, equipment, retirement of indebtedness, transfers to other funds, etc. Consequently, these statements do not purport to present the result of operations or the net income or loss for the period as would a statement of income for a profit-type organization.

Basis of Accounting

The Proposition S Bond Building Fund is maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. As such, revenues are recognized when they become susceptible to accrual, which is to say, when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered).

Cash in the county treasury is recorded at cost, which approximates fair value, in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 31. Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools.

Fund Balance Classification

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, the governmental fund financial statements present fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts can be spent. Amounts for which constraints have

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

been placed on the use of the resources either (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation are considered restricted. The fund balance of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund is therefore classified as restricted.

Capital Assets and Long-Term Debt

The accounting and reporting treatment applied to the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the Proposition S Bond Building Fund are determined by its measurement focus. The Proposition S Bond Building Fund is accounted for on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. The reported fund balance is considered a measure of "available spendable resources". Thus, the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the Proposition S Bond Building Fund are accounted for in the basic financial statements of the District.

Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2: <u>DEPOSITS – CASH IN COUNTY TREASURY</u>

In accordance with Education Code Section 84000, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the San Diego County Treasury as part of the common investment pool. These pooled funds are carried at amortized cost which approximates fair value. The fair value is 99.264% of the District's deposits for the Proposition S Bond Building Fund in this pool as of June 30, 2018, as provided by the County Treasurer.

The county is authorized to deposit cash and invest excess funds by California Government Code Sections 53534, 53601, 53635, and 53648. The county is restricted to invest in time deposits, U.S. government securities, state registered warrants, notes or bonds, State Treasurer's investment pool, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable certificates of deposit, and repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements. The funds maintained by the county are either secured by federal depository insurance or are collateralized. The county investment pool is not required to be rated. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

NOTE 2: DEPOSITS – CASH IN COUNTY TREASURY

The county investment pool is not registered as an investment company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) nor is it an SEC Rule 2a7-like pool. California Government Code statutes and the County Board of Supervisors set forth the various investment policies that the County Treasurer follows. The method used to determine the value of the participant's equity withdrawn is based on the book value, which is amortized costs of the participant's percentage participation on the date of such withdrawals.

The pool sponsor's annual financial report may be obtained from the County of San Diego Treasurer-Tax Collector 1600 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92101.

NOTE 3: <u>DUE FROM OTHER FUNDS</u>

On occasion, the Bond Building Fund pays for expenditures of other funds and is subsequently reimbursed. At June 30, 2018, funds of \$320,311 were owed to the Bond Building Fund for expenditures made on their behalf.

NOTE 4: PROPOSITION S GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND DEBT

On November 5, 2002, by majority election of the district's registered voters, \$685,000,000 in general obligation bonds (Proposition S) were authorized to be issued and sold for the benefit of the District. Proceeds from the bonds are to be used for acquisition, construction, renovation, repair, and modernization of certain District property and facilities and to refund or advance refund certain obligations of the District. The bonds were scheduled to be issued in four increments over a ten-year period.

2009 Series

On May 13, 2009, Series 2009 of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of serial bonds, term bonds, and convertible capital appreciation bonds with an initial par amount of \$131,293,506 with stated yield rates of 2.70% to 6.00% and maturing through August 1, 2033.

2011 Series

On July 21, 2011, Series 2011 of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of current interest serial bonds and current interest term bonds with an initial par amount of \$99,999,859 with stated yield rates of 0.27% to 6.69% and maturing through August 1, 2041.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

NOTE 4: PROPOSITION S GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND DEBT

2011 Refunding Series

On July 21, 2011, Series 2011, Refunding, of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of current interest serial bonds with an initial par amount of \$22,230,000 with stated yield rates of 0.38% to 3.55% and maturing through August 1, 2023.

2012 Refunding Series

On March 22, 2012, Series 2012, Refunding of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued with an initial par amount of \$235,134,077 with stated yield rates of 0.18% to 3.10% and maturing through August 1, 2029. The Series 2012, Refunding retired \$290,680,000 of debt including \$244,320,000 of Series 2003A and Series 2005 of the Proposition S bond authorization. As a result, approximately 84.05% of the debt service related to the Series 2012, Refunding is attributable to Proposition S.

2013 Series

On July 17, 2013, Series 2013 of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, consisting of current interest bonds with an initial par amount of \$103,705,000 with stated yield rates of 0.20% to 4.25% and maturing through August 1, 2032, and this represents the final issuance for the Proposition S bond measure authorized.

2016 Refunding Series

On November 3, 2016, Series 2016, Refunding of the Proposition S bond authorization was issued, with an initial par amount of \$157,257,360 with stated yield rate of 0.74% to 3.00% and maturing through August 1, 2041. The Series 2016 Refunding retired \$524,205,000 of debt including \$163,715,000 of Series 2009 and Series 2011 of the Proposition S bond authorization and \$360,490,000 of Series 2007 and Series 2011 of the Prop N bond authorization. As a result, approximately 31.2% of the debt service related to the Series 2016, Refunding is attributable to Proposition S and approximatively 68.8% of the debt service is attributable to Proposition N.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

NOTE 4: PROPOSITION S GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND DEBT

The outstanding general obligation bond debt of the District at June 30, 2018 is shown herein.

	Date of	Date of	Interest	An	nount of Original	Outstan	ding
General Obligation Bonds	Issue	Maturity	Rate %		Issue	June 30, 2	2018
Proposition S							
2009 Series	5/13/2009	8/1/2033	2.70 - 6.00%	\$	131,293,506	\$ 33,573	3,505
2011 Series	7/21/2011	8/1/2041	0.27 - 6.69%		99,999,859	22,054	4,859
2011 Series, Refunding	7/21/2011	8/1/2023	0.38 - 3.55%		22,230,000	14,38	5,000
2012 Series, Refunding	3/22/2012	8/1/2029	0.18 - 3.10%		235,134,077	217,813	5,575
2013 Series	7/17/2013	8/1/2032	0.20 - 4.25%		103,705,000	100,10	5,000
2016 Series Refunding	11/3/2016	8/1/2041	0.74 - 3.00%		157,257,360	155,74	7,280
Accreted Interest					<u>-</u>	29,58	1,015
Total				\$	749,619,802	\$ 573,262	2,234

The annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds are as shown herein.

Year Ending June 30,	 Principal	 Interest
2019	\$ 16,768,230	\$ 23,616,775
2020	19,184,465	24,424,587
2021	18,799,965	25,174,895
2022	21,828,932	24,205,525
2023	25,533,480	23,077,098
2024-2028	147,615,208	94,936,866
2029-2033	204,259,375	49,706,233
2034-2038	60,724,985	39,453,061
2039-2042	 28,966,579	41,538,213
Total	543,681,219	\$ 346,133,253
Accreted Interest	 29,581,015	
Total	\$ 573,262,234	

Capital appreciation bonds were issued as part of the 2009 and 2011 Series issuance. Prior to their applicable maturity dates, each capital appreciation bond will accrete interest on the principal component, with all interest accreting through the applicable maturity date and payable only upon maturity or prior payment of the principal component. Accreted interest accrued has been reflected in the long term debt balance on the District's general purpose financial statements.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

NOTE 5: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Purchase Commitments

As of June 30, 2018 the District was committed under various capital expenditure purchase agreements for bond projects totaling approximately \$32,478.





INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Board of Trustees
The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee
San Diego Community College District
San Diego, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial statements of the San Diego Community College District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's Proposition S Bond Building Fund financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Glendora, California

December 6, 2018

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES June 30, 2018

There were no findings related to the financial audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund for the year ended June 30, 2018. In addition, there were no findings related to the financial audit of the Proposition S Bond Building Fund for the year ended June 30, 2017.

PERFORMANCE	AUDIT OF THE	E PROPOSITIO	ON S BOND BUII	LDING FUND





INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Board of Trustees
The Proposition S Citizens' Oversight Committee
San Diego Community College District

We have conducted a performance audit of the San Diego Community College District (the District) Proposition S Bond Building funds for the year ended June 30, 2018.

We conducted our performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed on page 17 of this report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Proposition S Bond Building funds under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal control of the District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District expended Proposition S Bond Building funds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, only for the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees, and approved by the voters in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP Glendora, California December 6, 2018

fton Larson Allen LLP



PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2018

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In November 2000, the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 39 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds by California public school districts and community colleges under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions.

On November 5, 2002, by majority election of the district's registered voters, \$685,000,000 in general obligation bonds (Proposition S) were authorized to be issued and sold for the benefit of the District. Proceeds from the bonds are to be used for acquisition, construction, renovation, repair, and modernization of certain District property and facilities and to refund or advance refund certain obligations of the District. The bonds were scheduled to be issued in four increments over a ten-year period.

Pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 39, and related state legislation, the Board of Trustees of the District established a Citizens' Oversight Committee and appointed its members. The principal purpose of the Citizens' Oversight Committee, as set out in state law, is to inform the public as to the expenditures of the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant to the Proposition S bond authorization. The Citizens' Oversight Committee is required to issue at least one report annually as to its activities and findings.

Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the proceeds of the bonds deposited into the Proposition S Bond Building Fund have been expended only for the authorized bond projects.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our performance audit were to:

- Determine the expenditures charged to the District Proposition S Bond Building Fund.
- Determine whether expenditures charged to the Proposition S Bond Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Proposition S in November 2002.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the fiscal period from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources, other than the proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2018, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2018

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

- We identified the expenditures and projects charged to the general obligation bond proceeds by obtaining the general ledger and project listing.
- We selected a judgmental sample of expenditures considering all object codes and projects for the year ended June 30, 2018. Our sample included 15 transactions totaling \$1,791,118 (65.9% of non-salary related expenditures). No salaries or benefits were tested.
- We reviewed the actual invoices and supporting documentation to determine that expenditures charged to projects were:
 - o Supported by invoices with evidence of proper approval and documentation of receipt of goods or services;
 - o Supported by proper bid documentation, as applicable;
 - o Properly expended on the authorized bond projects as listed on the voter-approved bond project list;
 - O Used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the Proposition S Bond Building Fund and that such expenditures were made on authorized bond projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS LISTING

The Citizens' Oversight Committee has the following members as of June 30, 2018 all of whom were appointed by the District's Board of Trustees with two year terms, with a maximum of three consecutive terms of office:

Name	Title	Representation	Education
Glen Sparrow, Ph.D.	Chairperson	Active in a Bona Fide Taxpayers' Organization	15282(a)(3)
Thomas Scanlan	Vice Chairperson	Community -at- Large	15282(a)
William Baber, J.D.	Member	Active in a Bona Fide Taxpayers' Organization	15282(a)(3)
Pierre Domercq	Member	Community -at- Large	15282(a)
Jane Gawronski, Ph.D.	Member	Active in a Senior Citizens' Organization	15282(a)(2)
Robert Kiesling	Member	Active in a Bona Fide Taxpayers' Organization	15282(a)(3)
Sarah Kruer Jager	Member	Active in a Business Organization	15282(a)(1)
Thomas Kaye, Ph.D.	Member	Active in the Support and Organization of the Community College District	15282(a)(5)
Rosalie Schwartz, Ph.D.	Vice Chairperson	Community -at- Large	15282(a)
Mariana Longoria	Student Member	Student Currently Enrolled in the District	15282(a)(4)
Linda Zintz	Member	Community -at- Large	15282(a)

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2018

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY PROJECT FOR PROPOSITION S

Project Name	Site		xpe nditure
Districtwide ERP Systems	Districtwide	\$	2,067,343
Technology & Distribution Center	Miramar		77
Infrastructure	Miramar		(559,842)
P Building - Health, Exercise Science & Athletics	City		1,075
Child Development Center	City		153,141
Consolidation of Center City and Cesar Chavez	CE		33,517
Project Management	Districtwide		23,302
Fitness Center	Mesa		594
Campus Facilities Support Renovation	Mesa		1,022,663
Total		\$	2,741,870

See independent auditor's report